helicopters that flew in an ice machine, provided water, and provided any medical needs that were immediate to those areas during that time.

In January, as you know, a new administration came in. And since then, up to as recent as the day before yesterday, we have been out and about at community meetings. We were out at Kipahulu just Sunday evening at their Association's invitation.

We developed our scope of work with FEMA, and the State Civil Defense have continued the recovery efforts and have been heavily involved in shepherding through the needs of the archaeological survey and cultural impact reviews.

We have also been able to, in May, award the project bid -- do a project bid award for Manawainui, and the rock scaling, and that has since been completed, and the contractor has been selected and awarded the work for the next phase, which is the Three Arches project.

These are examples of what you should have gotten in the mail, I believe, right? Okay. These are the project worksheets work in conjunction with the State Civil Defense and FEMA. While the communities have been very clear to us that, you know, we should be advocating for them and not as heavily dictated by

Federal or State agencies, it's still a necessity to have their participation in it, considering that they will fund, and we are upwards very high in the millions as we speak on the project itself.

This is of course the Kalepa, Alalele project areas. We are currently in the Three Arches project under the contractor Genaud.

Our continuing efforts by the County, I want to touch on this a little bit in the brief time we have. The safety of the residents and the recovery workers continues to be our utmost concern. Making sure that, you know, while this is a difficult situation or one with clear hardship to the residents in both Kaupo and Kipahulu, we are new to what an earthquake can do to this area and have sided on the risk of caution and have, one year later, actually, you know, done some secondary look and sees with regards to things such as the barricade over in the Kipahulu area that are preventing folks coming fully around the island.

We are still continuing to coordinate the funding sources between Federal, State and County. The risk to us is without the agreement and approval of both FEMA and the State, what will happen is the County could find itself in advancing work and not being reimbursed and being accountable to our taxpayers for that.

We are faced with different multiple jurisdictions. The County, the National Park Service, the Department of Hawaiian Homelands, and Haleakala Ranch all own lands affected within the 17 plus mile area. The isolated residents, their need for emergency services are always an ongoing communication item with -- particularly with the Kaupo folks, because we have had a number of residents faced with health issues, being Medi-Vac-ed out, or we are putting in some scenarios where we can get to them quickly or what mechanisms would be available to them.

There are two communities in very different ahupua's affected in different ways, and so we've spent a great deal of our time working with individual Board of Directors, and we flew out to Kaupo and held a big community meeting in the Hui Aloha Church that was well attended, very well attended.

And we recently went to Kipahulu and have had a number of individual meetings with Board Members or their representatives coming forward and have logged well over, gosh, a couple of hundred, at least, opportunities of talking to the residents out there.

We continue to be focused and committed to the cultural, historical, and environmental preservation protection of the area and are very concerned about

families that are separated.

We have one parent in Kaupo whose daughter was a student in Hana. We were trying to deal with that situation. That student has since transferred to Kekaulike, and we supported that move. Unfortunately, she resides separate from her family in Kula while going to Kekaulike while her family lives out in Kaupo. Some families have actually opted to move out of the area.

The advocacy for resident needs, while we are not required to, you know, address needs such as phone service, school enrollment, or rent subsidies, our staff has committed to do that. We pursued the difficulties that HawaiianTel has had with phone service.

It's become sketchy at times, so it is a little nerve racking for emergencies. We dealt with downed phone lines and have brought in, you know, whatever influence we can offer to the HawaiianTel office.

And our biggest dilemma has often been the tourists traveling in the project areas. They really should not be going around. And it's been pretty challenging. Even on the day when we had a blessing ceremony for the Manawainui project, we had a foreign couple, who spoke almost no English, with their

Rent-A-Car showing up. And we tried to chase them away, and were very nice about it, and told them they shouldn't be there. Did you not read the signs? Death, serious injury.

And I know one of them showed a map and a guide book, and we tried to kind of nicely ask them to leave. And then they followed us walking down the bridge with Uncle Les Kualoa doing the blessing, and we had to shoo them away again. And it was just really interesting.

And just on Sunday, tourists had a Jeep -- a family -- that they rented. And they showed up at the barricade at Kukulula bridge, and they had traded in their Rent A Car for the four-wheel drive and was not told out in Kaanapali, when they rented the vehicle, that they couldn't go around the island.

So, we sent them back through to Hana, at 4:00 o'clock in the afternoon, to drive back to Kaanapali. And it was very unfortunate but necessary. And we are still -- we are still in this dilemma. The barricade is there. You know, unfortunately, until we can better assess it, we are looking actually quite honestly of relocating it a little further down.

It creates a situation where vehicles are required to turn at the driveway of one resident, and

this place is just not safe for that as well. But we were faced with inheriting the barricade being placed there from last year, have again weighed on the side of caution, and allowed it to remain there until our engineers and other staff and planners could take a look at it. And we've been very, very concerned about it, and it's been dismantled. It's been hauled away. It's been moved by bulldozers. Our Public Works staff go out and monitor it. And again, we are looking at it. Back over to Jim.

MR. BUIKA: Well, just in conclusion, the Planning Department's follow-up, we have waived the SMA rules to keep the projects going for life safety purposes. And we have provided the Commission with this report, which includes the major project -- the four major projects out of five. One is just a guardrail replacement.

So, you have the project worksheets. We are coordinating with Public Works, and Public Works is providing the Planning Department with voluntary SMA emergency permit use applications, so we will be completing the SMA assessments on those, so we will have a complete record of the projects.

And we are and we will continue to provide the Commissioners with updates as needed as the projects

```
progress. And this report has also been communicated
1
   with members of the Hana Advisory Committee, and the
2
   Cultural Resources Committee, and others involved in the
3
   projects. So, we have tried to distribute it as widely
4
5
   as possible.
               And so the points of contact for the
 6
   Commission are Stan Zitnik and Mahina Martin from the
 7
   Mayor's Office, Mike Miyamoto from the Department Public
 8
   Works, and myself, Jim Buika, from the Department of
 9
   Planning. And mahalo.
10
11
                Any questions?
                                Yes.
                CHAIRPERSON AMORIN: Commissioner Hedani
12
    followed by Commissioner Starr.
13
                COMMISSIONER HEDANI: When is the road going
14
15
   to be reopened?
                MR. ZITNIK: Initially, our Planning
16
    Department -- or our Public Works Department estimated
17
    two years. And at this time, it looks like October of
18
    2008.
19
                CHAIRPERSON AMORIN: Commissioner Starr.
20
                COMMISSIONER STARR: Yeah, let me state
21
    first of all that I live inside this area.
                                                 The
22
    location -- I call it Three Arches. The proper name for
23
    it, which is what should be used, is Na'apuu.
24
25
    the Hawaiian name for that place where the work is being
```

done. That's been my family property since 19 -- since 1972.

And the crews that are working on it are working on our property. They have built a base yard that blocks my back gate. And it's -- you know, I am glad to see that the work is -- that the repair work is getting done.

But, you know, there is a bit of a cavalier attitude. I am not sure if you are aware, but two men came within a hair's breath of dying on Saturday, because they were taking shortcuts. They had a scaffold that was being lowered with two men on it over the side of the sheer cliffs, and it was being supported by the bucket of a loader, a backhoe.

And they extended it out far enough so that the backhoe actually tumbled over, and the scaffold came down, and it -- part of the backhoe hit the telephone wires and took down three telephone poles. And it was only by the fact that those telephone wires stretched that the backhoe didn't fall over and the two guys on the scaffold didn't get killed.

When it was finally pulled back, the scaffold crashed into the rocks, and one of the workers suffered a head injury and was very lucky that they only had a head injury. The other day when I was down there,

I watched them take that loader and take a pile of rocks and debris and dump them over the side into the ocean.

And I don't believe that that's an allowable action.

Now, there is something that is really disturbing me about this project. I have been bringing it up for many, many months. And that is that this project exceeds the scope of returning the road to what was there by the installation of ring netting.

And this netting, which is to be placed on the rocks at Manawainui and possibly at Kalepa, is, first of all, something new. It's not something that was there before. It's something that's in contrast to the SMA rules, and in that reason should not be being exempted by the SMA exemption, because the SMA -- the alteration shall cause minimum adverse effect to scenic resources, and the rocks out there certainly are scenic.

Plus, you know, there's just a lot of feeling those rocks are, in a sense, sacrosanct. Maybe even people consider the pohaku sacred out there, and that by putting netting on them it's changing the attitude. It's entrapping them.

So, you know, I for one will be contesting that nature of the exemption. I will be protesting to FEMA and attempting to get funds cut off through Senator Inouye's office. I will be filing this protest and

probably filing legal action in court regarding the placing of the netting.

This netting is not something that's used throughout the rest of the country. This is only a cheap solution. I have seen it in West Virginia in some really poor coal mining communities. Anyplace else retaining walls are utilized, and there are much better, more elegant practices.

But to try to cover the rocks of East Maui with this netting in order to rush it through under FEMA emergency funding is wrong, it's an injustice, and I will continue to speak up against it and fight this project as long as I possibly can.

And just another note, I have not had a telephone since the earthquake at our place at all. And, you know, my caretaker on the property passed away --

MS. MARTIN: Right.

COMMISSIONER STARR: -- six months ago. You know, after they closed the road, there was no way he could get hospice care. Closing the road was wrong and has done a lot of damage to the community. And when it was done originally by Alan Arakawa it was wrong, and I understand that while the work is being done, but when there is no work in progress, the road should not be

kept closed.

MS. MARTIN: I appreciate -- if I could respond?

CHAIRPERSON AMORIN: Go ahead, Mahina.

MS. MARTIN: Thank you. You are correct in that the concerns on the pohaku and the rock walls of itself are important issues. We spent a considerable amount of time going to the cultural practitioners and kupunas and the communities and have said, you know, when you look at the rock walls and the dangers imposed by the earthquake, you know, the aerial recon shows that there are some significant dangerous areas that we are responding to.

And so we talked about the different options of further scaling at a bigger degree that would really alter the look of the rock wall and the historic value of it, and the measures of safety that the netting would put. And we have gone back and forth with that a number of times, a huge number of times.

And the consensus we have gotten from both communities of Kaupo and Kipahulu is that the -- their own urgency of wanting the work done and the idea of the rock -- of the rock netting or the netting itself and the scaling work was something that they would be okay with.

As a matter of fact, I think a letter from Aloha Smith of Kaupo was delivered to you folks. It was addressed to the Commission or maybe I just got a copy. Okay. She had submitted to the Planning Commission, perhaps it's forthcoming, her own family's position on the scaling and their desire to get the project moving forward.

Certainly, you know, there are a variety of concerns from the whole gamut. You know, we have gone and reached out to the community through a number of meetings in Kaupo, Kipahulu. We held them in Kula. We had phone conversations, in-person meetings with their leadership and the kupunas of the area and have been directed that the utmost desire is to reopen the road rather than continue to examine whether or not FEMA will approve funding for something of a large scale amount that we couldn't moved forward until we could be rest assured that money would be secured. So, being caught in that, that's the process we went.

COMMISSIONER STARR: Well, you know, you are strangling the community. And if you asked them whether they would eat a little bit of strychnine in order to get that road open, they probably would.

But the issue is not whether to get the road open or not. The issue is whether you are going to put

netting on the rocks there. And it's not necessary to open the road, and it's not a proper long term fix.

And as far as I am concerned, that's something you are doing electively, it's something that's being done to gain FEMA funds, and it's something that is a real wrong culturally. It's something that's really wrong visually. And I wish that the County would find another way to reopen that road without putting netting on there, because we are going to have to be living with that a long time. It's really, really ugly.

MS. MARTIN: We have looked at other options and all the geologists and engineers have given us, we have brought forward. And so this is the one -- and I don't know. I am not here to do the great debate, of course, you know, Jonathan.

And I think it's just important that to -we wanted to come forward to you all to tell you that
this is the work and the process that we went through,
and in no means was it simple. But the whole situation
isn't simple either. So, we have found a process, and
this is where we are at today.

CHAIRPERSON AMORIN: Thank you.

Commissioner Hedani.

COMMISSIONER HEDANI: I just wanted to say that I appreciate the information, and I -- from my own

perspective, you know, I wish that whatever you can do 1 to make the process as fast as possible to reestablish 2 access on that side of the island, for all of the 3 residents that are out there, would be appreciated. 4 MS. MARTIN: Thank you. 5 CHAIRPERSON AMORIN: Thank you. And then 6 7 just a safety concern. I haven't been out there in a long time. But warning signs posted, are they adequate 8 9 to defer -- defray tourists or people who want to just prospect the area? Do you have adequate signs posted? 10 There are very, very large 11 MS. MARTIN: construction signs. Do you know the footage? 12 MR. ZITNIK: Four-by-eight, Joe? 13 UNIDENTIFIED MAN: Four-by-eight. 14 MS. MARTIN: Look, there is one of our 15 Four-by-eight signs. They are put in areas engineers. 16 that the route defines, but apparently they are not in 17 the language of tourists. So, even when the Jeep drove 18 up to the barricade, I pointed to the sign that they 19 were right in front of. And the sign says road closed. 20 There is a barricade. And just not -- you know, I think 21 the Mayor has suggested that we go straight again, and 22 go to the Rent A Car companies, and ask a little more 23 firmly this time --24

The source.

CHAIRPERSON AMORIN:

MS. MARTIN: -- to put in neon notes. 1 CHAIRPERSON AMORIN: Thank you. Okay. Anv 2 more questions, Commissioners? Seeing none, thank you 3 very much. 4 MS. MARTIN: Thank you. 5 Thank you both. CHAIRPERSON AMORIN: 6 COMMISSIONER STARR: Thank you. 7 CHAIRPERSON AMORIN: Director. 8 9 PLANNING DIRECTOR HUNT: Your next item involves the Planning Director transmitting the 2030 10 Countywide Policy Plan to the Maui Planning Commission 11 pursuant to the provisions of Chapter 2.80B of the Maui 12 13 County Code. The Maui Planning Commission may review and 14 provide recommendations on Section IV of the plan which 15 was revised to reflect the recommendations of the Maui, 16 Moloka'i, and Lana'i General Plan Advisory Committees. 17 Emphasis will be placed on the revised Expand Housing 18 Opportunities for the County Citizens, Improve Physical 19 Infrastructure, and Improve Parks and Public Facilities, 20 Diversify Transportation Options, Strengthen the Local 21 Economy, and Strive for Good Governance sections of the 22 23 plan. The Commission may also discuss convening a 24 special meeting at a later date to complete any 25

unfinished business under this item. The project 1 2 planner assigned to this is Simone Bosco along with 3 Julia Staley. CHAIRPERSON AMORIN: Commissioner Starr. 4 5 COMMISSIONER STARR: Excuse me, Madame 6 Chair. I would love to be able to dig into the policy 7 plan, but before we do that, I know I am going to have to leave at 5:00 and some of the other Commissioners 8 9 are. 10 And we have a couple of items that relate to 11 scheduling and agenda that are later down. I'm just 12 wondering if it's possible to deal with those agenda and 13 scheduling items really quickly before we start on this, 14 so that we are assured that that's taken care of, 15 because I think that's important. 16 CHAIRPERSON AMORIN: First off, Simone, 17 thank you so much for standing by. We never know how 18 our day is going to go, but these are important 19 housekeeping items we need to get to. 20 So, if it's okay, do I have a consensus to 21 at least have the Director go through the Director's 22 report, and then me to get some scheduling especially on 2.3 forthcoming meetings. Director. 24 PLANNING DIRECTOR HUNT: The first item

under the Director's report is referring the Transient

```
Vacation Rental Bill and Bed and Breakfast Bills to the
 1
   Hana Advisory Committee for additional comments per the
2
 3
   request from the Hana Advisory Committee.
 4
                The Commission may take action to refer the
 5
   legislation to the Hana Advisory Committee for
   additional comments. As I understand it, this was
 6
 7
   raised at the last meeting for discussion today.
 8
                COMMISSIONER HEDANI:
                                      So move.
 9
                COMMISSIONER IACONETTI:
                                         Second.
10
                COMMISSIONER HEDANI: Call for the question.
11
                CHAIRPERSON AMORIN:
                                     All those in favor.
12
                COMMISSIONER STARR:
                                     Can I make a quick
13
   comment?
14
                CHAIRPERSON AMORIN:
                                     Go ahead and repeat
15
   your motion.
16
                COMMISSIONER STARR:
                                     No, I wasn't in on the
17
   motion. Commissioner Hedani and Dr. Iaconetti got there
18
   first.
           I just want to comment.
                                     I wish we didn't have
   to do that, because I would like to be able to dispose
19
   of the item, but I know -- you know, I have been in --
20
   every time I go to Hana, I am accosted by people asking,
21
22
    you know, when that meeting is going to be held.
23
                So, I appreciate the members of the
24
   Commission supporting having that meeting. And if I'm
   advised of the date, I will do everything I can to go to
25
```

it and, if desired, I will report back on the gist of it 1 2 to the members here. CHAIRPERSON AMORIN: Thank you for 3 4 supporting Hana. DEPUTY CORPORATION COUNSEL: And just to 5 make clear it, it would be to have --6 7 CHAIRPERSON AMORIN: Corporation Counsel. DEPUTY CORPORATION COUNSEL: To have a 8 public hearing there published in the newspaper, because 9 that wasn't done the first time, and I think that's what 10 raised the issue to have notice published in the 11 12 newspaper. Director. 13 CHAIRPERSON AMORIN: PLANNING DIRECTOR HUNT: I would request --14 it's up to you folks, but consider this. That we hold a 15 16 public meeting out there and not necessarily a public 17 hearing. We will do our best to get the word out. will send an e-mail to the MVRA. We will get the word 18 There is just a legal difference between a public 19 out. 20 hearing and a public meeting. 21 CHAIRPERSON AMORIN: Commissioner Starr. 22 COMMISSIONER STARR: Yeah, my desire, when I did bring it up back to the Commission, was it just be 23 24 an agenda item on a meeting called by the Hana Advisory

Committee to the Maui Planning Commission, but that the

```
Department does use its best efforts to make sure that
1
2
   everyone knows about it.
                CHAIRPERSON AMORIN: Director.
3
4
                PLANNING DIRECTOR HUNT: One other item.
5
   There was discussion. I think we talked about this
   several meetings ago. Do you want to wait until the
6
7
   minutes are actually typed up and brought back to you
   before you review the TVR and B&B bills, or do you just
8
9
   want comments from the Hana Advisory Committee before
10
   you discuss the TVR Bill and B&B Bill?
                CHAIRPERSON AMORIN: Commissioner Hedani.
11
12
                COMMISSIONER HEDANI: My preference would be
13
   to get comments from the committee.
                CHAIRPERSON AMORIN: Do we have a consensus
14
   with that?
15
                PLANNING DIRECTOR HUNT: No objections on
16
17
   that, so just comments back. Okay.
18
                CHAIRPERSON AMORIN:
                                     Okay.
19
                COMMISSIONER STARR: We should vote. Did we
    vote on that?
20
21
                CHAIRPERSON AMORIN: No. All those in
22
    favor?
23
                (Chorus of ayes.)
24
                Any opposed?
25
                (Silence.)
```

1 Motion carries. Commissioner Starr. 2 COMMISSIONER STARR: Yeah, I move that we schedule a special meeting to deal with the TVR and do 3 it as a full day, fresh-slate meeting. And that can be 4 5 scheduled at the call of the Chair by the Director. COMMISSIONER IACONETTI: Second. 6 7 CHAIRPERSON AMORIN: We have a date certain. 8 Is that the 20th, Director? 9 PLANNING DIRECTOR HUNT: I'm not sure if we 10 can get the Hana Advisory Committee scheduled by then. 11 CHAIRPERSON AMORIN: Commissioner Starr. 12 COMMISSIONER STARR: Yeah, my motion was 13 that we leave it to Chair and the Director to work out the details as long as it's properly noticed and we are 14 advised. That's fine. 15 16 CHAIRPERSON AMORIN: Okay. I will confer with the Director. 17 18 COMMISSIONER STARR: The 20th would be great for me if it's doable. 19 20 CHAIRPERSON AMORIN: I don't think it's 21 doable for the 20th. 22 PLANNING DIRECTOR HUNT: We might as well ask. Would there be quorum here? Can everyone make it 23 on the 20th? 24 25 CHAIRPERSON AMORIN: Do we have quorum?

```
will be here if I need to.
1
2
               PLANNING DIRECTOR HUNT: That's one
3
   potential date.
 4
               CHAIRPERSON AMORIN: Okay. That's a
 5
   potential date.
 6
                PLANNING DIRECTOR HUNT: And the next date
 7
   would be December 4th. Anybody who can't make that one?
 8
                COMMISSIONER HEDANI:
                                     What day is that?
 9
                PLANNING DIRECTOR HUNT:
                                         Tuesdays. I am
10
   picking up Tuesdays, because they seemed to work well in
   the past. So, either of those two dates.
11
12
                CHAIRPERSON AMORIN: Or December 4th. Do we
13
   have quorum? Anyone can't be here? We have quorum.
14
               MS. TAKAYAMA-CORDEN: Urban Design uses the
15
   room.
16
                PLANNING DIRECTOR HUNT: We will work that
17
   out. So, was there -- did you we get a vote on that to
18
    leave it up to the Chair and the staff to work out a
19
    date?
20
                CHAIRPERSON AMORIN: Okay. We have a motion
21
    on the floor. I think it was by Commissioner Starr and
22
    seconded by Commissioner Iaconetti. All those in favor.
23
                (Chorus of ayes.)
24
                Any opposed?
25
                (Silence.)
```

```
Motion carries. I will confer with the
1
   Director on the dates. So, at this time, I would like
2
3
   to just get back with Simone.
               PLANNING DIRECTOR HUNT: Just one last item.
4
   We are providing a notification that there was an appeal
5
   from the Shoreline Setback Rules.
                                      This is item G3.
6
7
   It's just a notification that there was an appeal.
8
               Thorne, is in anything you want to add?
               MR. ABBOTT: Two things. Jane Lovell is
9
10
   filing a motion to dismiss the appeal. However, the
11
   applicant and the Department are in informal
   negotiations seeking a mutually agreeable settlement to
12
   dispose of the item in a more expedient fashion.
                                                      Thank
13
14
   you.
                                    Thank you. Okay.
               CHAIRPERSON AMORIN:
15
16
   Simone.
17
               MS. BOSCO:
                            Thank you, Planning Director and
    also Miss Chairperson, Ms. Chairperson.
18
                Right at the top of the item here, I'd like
19
20
    to propose that the Commission discuss setting a special
   meeting to catch up, because we are going to lose quorum
21
    very shortly.
22
                I know this is kind of a surprise, but it is
23
    listed on the agenda as something that you can discuss,
24
    and seeing as that we have only three meetings left, we
25
```

need -- we actually have this meeting, the second 1 meeting in November, and then one last meeting in December to wrap up the review, and we needed all those 3 three meetings. 4 So, at this point in time, I'd like to 5 suggest setting another special meeting for the Maui 6 Planning Commission to handle the items that were on 7 today's agenda, if possible. If not, we are going to 8 just need to be exceedingly efficient at the last two meetings to complete our work. 10 CHAIRPERSON AMORIN: Commissioner Starr. 11 COMMISSIONER STARR: Yeah, what is the 12 compulsion to finish by the end of the year? Is there 13 one? 14 MS. BOSCO: Yes, the compulsion is you have 15 120 days to complete the review and forward your 16 recommendations to the Planning Director. And so we are 17 coming up to that deadline in December, the 120-day 18 19 period. And if we don't do that, then we --20 basically, the recommendations that you have so far will 21 22 be the recommendations that are forwarded, and you will 23 have an incomplete review. CHAIRPERSON AMORIN: Commissioner Starr. 24 COMMISSIONER STARR: Move that we schedule a 25

```
special meeting to deal with the policy plan issues,
date to be once again determined by the Director and
Chair after consultation by e-mail with members, after
finding room available, et cetera, in December.
            COMMISSIONER HEDANI:
                                 Second.
            CHAIRPERSON AMORIN: Commissioner Hiranaga.
            COMMISSIONER HIRANAGA:
                                   Because there's a
notice requirement, maybe we should just schedule the
Countywide Plan for the 20th and try to schedule the B&B
for December 4th, because aren't you supposed to provide
notice seven days prior to the meeting?
            PLANNING DIRECTOR HUNT: Yes, we do have to
set notice.
            COMMISSIONER HIRANAGA: So, you would have
to provide notice tomorrow, right, for the meeting for
the 20th, and we don't know what's happening with the
Hana Advisory, so rather than waste a day, we should
just --
            CHAIRPERSON AMORIN:
                                 Okay.
                                        We have
consensus on that, so we want to do a date certain for
the --
            COMMISSIONER STARR: Policy.
            CHAIRPERSON AMORIN: -- the 20th of
November. Carolyn, so noted. Commissioner Hiranaga.
            COMMISSIONER HIRANAGA:
                                    The December 4th,
```

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
the Urban Design Review, is that a half-a-day meeting
1
2
   typically?
               MS. TAKAYAMA-CORDEN: Typically it is, but I
3
   am not sure.
4
               COMMISSIONER HIRANAGA:
                                        No quarantee.
5
               DEPUTY CORPORATION COUNSEL: They have been
6
   cancelled a lot lately, too.
7
               CHAIRPERSON AMORIN: Commissioner Starr.
8
               COMMISSIONER STARR: I would really like to
9
   do the TVR meeting in a way that there's some evening
10
   testimony time, because that's something I have heard
11
   from a number of people in the community who were -- is
12
   that it's been impossible for them to come down and say
13
   their piece.
14
                So, I would love it if we could do it in
15
    such a way, maybe convene a meeting at 5:00 p.m. or
16
   maybe convene a meeting at 2:00 or 3:00, and then run
17
    through 5:00, and allow an opportunity for the public if
18
   they want to come down and say their piece and then act
19
20
    later.
21
                CHAIRPERSON AMORIN: Any comments from the
22
    other Commissioners? Commissioner Iaconetti.
23
                COMMISSIONER IACONETTI:
                                         Is there some way
24
    of limiting reaffirmation of their piece?
                                               It seems to
25
   me that every time we have this meeting, we get the same
```

people talking about the same things occupying most of 1 2 the meeting, and we never get any further along. 3 Commissioner Starr. CHAIRPERSON AMORIN: 4 COMMISSIONER STARR: Yeah, you know, I don't 5 think we can legally insist on it, but perhaps we can make a very strong request that, you know, unless 6 someone has new information, that they really leave it to new testifiers. 9 And you know, I guess if people are greedy 10 and wanted, you know, to take their time, we can't stop 11 But I think if we do put out -- you know, do make that statement, perhaps it will go part of the way. 12 13 Maybe Corp Counsel has some better idea. 14 Corporation Counsel. CHAIRPERSON AMORIN: 15 DEPUTY CORPORATION COUNSEL: Well, I would 16 recommend against doing that, telling people not to 17 testify under certain circumstances. I understand the intent behind it. 18 It's 19 just that the OIP's written some opinions that if you 20

tell someone to limit their testimony in a certain way or something, that could be a Sunshine Law violation.

21

22

23

24

25

What you could do, an example is what the Land Use Committee has been doing on Wailea 670, is recess meetings within six days of the original meeting. If you recess a meeting within six days of that original meeting, you do not have to allow any more public testimony on those items. Of course then the challenge, you are not full-time commission members. It's not your only job. So, to keep doing it within the six days may be a problem, but that's one option.

And then the next meeting would have to be within six days of that original meeting and so on, but you wouldn't have to keep providing testimony. You could keep resetting until you finish your deliberations.

CHAIRPERSON AMORIN: Commissioner Starr.

COMMISSIONER STARR: I really don't think that there's a problem with making a request that people, if they can, limit it to new testimony. You know, I think if we were to not allow them to testify, that's one issue, but I think making that request to the public is not problematical.

DEPUTY CORPORATION COUNSEL: The issue -there has been an issue in the past, and there's been
published OIP opinions on -- for example, one was
actually at this Commission where someone was requested
could you only testify on the monetary items.

And I understand what you are saying, and, you know, the OIP may be okay with it in certain context. But, generally, they do not like people

telling people what they can and can't testify about if 1 they would generally have the right to testify on the 2 3 item. 4 I can get you a copy of that opinion, and, 5 you know, you can proceed as you see fit, but that's just been an issue that's come up in the past. 6 7 CHAIRPERSON AMORIN: Commissioner Hiranaga. 8 COMMISSIONER HIRANAGA: It's been my 9 experience in the past two meetings that a majority of 10 the people have not been repeat testifiers. They may 11 all be saying very similar things, but they are different people saying it. 12 13 I don't see it as a problem. I mean, the 14 testimony is very long. There's a lot of people 15 testifying, but I don't really see that many repeat 16 testifiers. If anything, I would like to suggest, based 17 upon what John just said about an evening meeting, is 18 December 4th we scheduled a meeting to start at 19 1:00 o'clock, and we just going until we lose our 20 quorum. And then staff will have someplace for dinner 21 for us.

CHAIRPERSON AMORIN: So, December 4th. So we are not date certain for the 20th for the TVR, so do you folks want to move it up to December 4th? Will we have --

22

23

24

1	COMMISSIONER HIRANAGA: The 20th is the
2	CHAIRPERSON AMORIN: I beg your pardon?
3	COMMISSIONER HIRANAGA: The 20th I thought
4	we agreed was the County Plan Review.
5	CHAIRPERSON AMORIN: Okay. So, the
6	Community-wide Plan will be for the 20th, so that's the
7	date certain. Okay. Commissioner Starr.
8	COMMISSIONER STARR: Yeah, do we have the
9	room? If we have the room for the 4th, I can make a
10	motion.
11	MS. TAKAYAMA-CORDEN: I got to go check. I
12	have to check the calendar.
13	COMMISSIONER HIRANAGA: Recommend then.
14	CHAIRPERSON AMORIN: Carolyn, this would be
15	an afternoon session if they are going to be here.
16	MS. TAKAYAMA-CORDEN: If Urban Design has a
17	meeting, then it would be afternoon.
18	MS. BOSCO: The policy plan needs three
19	hours minimum. Three hours would be good enough. Four
20	hours is better, so starting in the afternoon, that's
21	fine.
22	CHAIRPERSON AMORIN: For November 20th.
23	COMMISSIONER STARR: We have all day on the
24	20th.
25	MS. BOSCO: Okay.

CHAIRPERSON AMORIN: Okay. Carolyn is going 1 to check, and she has to come back. 2 3 COMMISSIONER HIRANAGA: We are going to lose 4 quorum in five minutes. 5 CHAIRPERSON AMORIN: Director. PLANNING DIRECTOR HUNT: A couple other 6 7 comments on testimony. One thing you can do is manage 8 the testimony. There's a tendency -- it's human nature 9 to ask questions or start talking story with these 10 people. I have seen some committees just be very 11 business-like, and they get their three minutes, and 12 that's it. 13 If you do ask a question, it's also human 14 nature for that person at the podium to answer the 15 question and then kind of see the doors open, and they 16 can keep talking, and they get another three minutes or 17 even six or whatever. And you can politely just say, thank you, the question has been answered, and manage it 18 19 that way. 20 CHAIRPERSON AMORIN: I have a question, 21 Director. Is a minimum three minutes or can it be two? 22 PLANNING DIRECTOR HUNT: What's our rules 23 say? 24 CHAIRPERSON AMORIN: Corporation Counsel. 25 DEPUTY CORPORATION COUNSEL: Three minutes

```
is the Sunshine Law. Three minutes is the minimum you
1
2
   can allow by State law.
               CHAIRPERSON AMORIN: Thank you. If Carolyn
3
   is unable to secure this office, do we have any other
4
   option?
5
6
               PLANNING DIRECTOR HUNT: It's really
7
   difficult. We can explore that, but we have had trouble
8
   with that in the past.
               CHAIRPERSON AMORIN: And the time, the
9
   starting time, Commissioner Starr, as far as starting a
10
   later meeting in the afternoon for the TVR, did you have
11
   a time certain? 1:00 p.m.? Is that open to all
12
   Commissioners to be here as far as quorum, 1:00 p.m. on
13
   December 4th? Okay. I see a consensus.
14
15
                PLANNING DIRECTOR HUNT: And to working into
    the evening if necessary? We can have a dinner break.
16
    The idea -- the idea from staff is to wrap it up.
17
    Again, if we hold a second meeting, then there's going
18
19
   to be testimony again, and it eats up on the time.
20
                If we could wrap it up, you guys are pretty
21
    close. You have got it down to -- I think there's just
22
    two remaining issues. The Lanai Planning Commission has
23
    passed theirs out, so we are just waiting on Maui and
    Molokai.
24
```

CHAIRPERSON AMORIN: Commissioner Hiranaga

```
1
    followed by Commissioner Starr. Commissioner Starr.
2
               COMMISSIONER STARR: Yeah, I'd love to wrap
   it up, and, you know, maybe we can. Maybe we can't.
 3
 4
   What I'd like to request is that the Department look and
   see if there is another date not too far after the 4th
 5
 6
   when, if we can't wrap it up, we can recess to. How
7
   many days do we have to recess?
8
                DEPUTY CORPORATION COUNSEL: Within six.
 9
               COMMISSIONER STARR: So, maybe if we kind of
10
   have that and hold it close, you know, hold it kind of
11
    close to the vest, and if we need it, we can wrap up
12
    deliberations without having to go through public
13
   hearing again.
               CHAIRPERSON AMORIN: We have Carolyn back.
14
15
    Carolyn, do you have any answer?
16
               MS. TAKAYAMA-CORDEN: Okay. The 4th would
17
   be the afternoon, December 4th. The 20th we can have it
18
    in the morning.
19
               CHAIRPERSON AMORIN: What time in the
20
    afternoon on the 4th?
21
               MS. TAKAYAMA-CORDEN: Probably about
22
   1:00 o'clock.
23
               CHAIRPERSON AMORIN:
                                     1:00 p.m.
                                                Okay.
                                                        That
24
   is confirmed. We have a time certain, and a time date
25
    for TVR, which will be December 4th at 1:00 p.m.
```

```
then for Community-wide Policy Plan, it's going to be on
1
 2
   the 20th of November at 9:00 a.m.
 3
                MS. BOSCO: And how long would that meeting
4
   run? Can everyone stay? That's the question.
5
                CHAIRPERSON AMORIN: And it will probably be
 6
   a minimum of three hours, Simone?
7
                MS. BOSCO: Uh-huh. And as long as you can
8
   go, it would be great. That would be great.
 9
                CHAIRPERSON AMORIN: Okay. Let's just try
10
   to get it all completed.
11
                MS. BOSCO:
                            Thank you.
12
                CHAIRPERSON AMORIN: With that said, thank
   you everyone, and this meeting is now adjourned.
13
14
                (Adjourned, 4:57 p.m.)
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

CERTIFICATION I, KATHERINE EISMANN, CSR 439 in and for the State of Hawaii, do hereby certify: That the proceedings were taken by me in machine shorthand and were thereafter reduced to print under my supervision by means of computer-assisted transcription; that the foregoing represents, to the best of my ability, a true and correct transcript of the proceedings had in the foregoing matter. I further certify that I am not an attorney for any of the parties hereto, nor in any way interested in the outcome of the cause named in the caption. Dated: 13 Normbox 2007